IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 16880 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

BIJU S., S/O. ABDU SAMAD,
AGED 36 YEARS, F.T.M., S.V. V.H.S.S., PALEMAD,
P.O.PALEMAD, EDAKKARA, NILAMBUR,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679 331, RESIDING AT
KAITHAPOLAYIL VEEDU, P.O.EDAKKARA, NILAMBUR,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679 331.

BY ADV. SMT.LATHA PRABHAKARAN
SRI.K.M.JAMALUDHEEN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE MANAGER,
S.V.V.H.S.S., PALEMAD, P.O.PALEMAD,
EDAKKARA, NILAMBUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT-679 331.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.16880 of 2007

Dated this the 4th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner claims that he was appointed as Full Time Menial under the management of respondent no.3 in a promotion vacancy with effect from September 20, 2002. However, it appears that the District Educational Officer, Wandoor refused to approve the said appointment on the ground that the appointment of the promotee in whose vacancy the petitioner had been appointed, had not been approved. Though the manager preferred an appeal against the order of the District Educational Officer, it was also dismissed as revealed from Ext.P1 order. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P2 revision petition against the order of the appellate authority before the Government. The limited prayer is to issue an appropriate direction to the revisional authority to take an expeditious decision in the matter. Learned Government Pleader submits that if Ext.P2 has been preferred, an appropriate decision thereon will be taken without any delay.

2. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P2 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Respondent no.1 shall ensure that petitioner and the manager are afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with the certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes